

CEE 123 Transport Systems 3: Planning & ForecastingSpring 2022: Michael G. Mc Nally (mmcnally-at-uci-dot-edu) [15450]**Homework #5 -- Trip Generation Analysis [Due: Friday, May 6th]****Problem 1 [20 points]**

The data in **Table 1** was collected from 10 households (HH31-HH40). Variables include Household ID Number, HHID), daily trip productions per household (Trips), HH Income (HHInc, in \$1,000s), number of cars in the HH (Cars), persons per HH (HHSIZE), and dwelling unit type (DU, 1=Single Family; 2=Multiple Family).

- Hypothesize** several alternate model structures [e.g., $\text{Trips} = f(\text{Inc})$] and then **estimate** a valid bivariate trip generation model using these 10 data points, performing the calculations using Excel.
- Hypothesize** several alternate multivariate structures [e.g., $\text{Trips} = f(\text{Inc}, \text{Cars})$] and **estimate** a valid multivariate trip generation model using these 10 data points (use Excel). Include Excel output.

Table 1. Sample Household Travel and Demographic Data

HHID	Trips	Inc	Cars	HHSIZE	DU
31	5	50.1	2	2	2
32	6	55.5	2	3	1
33	10	61.2	3	4	1
34	4	45.6	1	2	2
35	8	63.9	2	4	1
36	6	55.5	2	2	2
37	5	49.8	2	3	2
38	8	63.9	2	4	1
39	4	42.9	1	1	2
40	4	45.6	1	2	2

Problem 2 [10 points]

A base category distribution of households by number of cars per household (1, 2, or 3+) and type of dwelling unit (1=single family, 2=multiple family) is provided in **Table 2**. Each category cell contains the total number of trips and the total number of households, respectively, but only for the first 40 data points in **Table 8**. Add the remaining 10 households (HH41-HH50) to this table then build a *category trip generation model* by computing trip production rates for each cell (*and* for row and column totals) of the matrix. Round to nearest tenth of a trip.

Table 2. Trip Summary (HHs 1-40 only)

	Dwelling Type			
Cars	1=SF	2=MF	Tot	Row
/HH				
1	12	31	43	
	2	9	11	
2	69	57	126	
	10	11	21	
3+	68	8	76	
	7	1	8	
Col	149	96	245	
Tot	19	21	40	

Problem 3 [10 points]

Compare your category model with the corresponding regression model (below).

1. Evaluate the estimation results statistically.
2. Interpret the model coefficients? What do these values imply about trips?
3. Compute regression estimates for each cell of the category model. Compare results.

O R D I N A R Y L E A S T S Q U A R E S							
VARIABLE	MEAN	S.D.	OBS	CORREL	Cars	DU	Trips
1. Cars	1.8200	0.7197	50	Cars	1.0000	-0.4425	0.8721
2. DU	1.5800	0.4986	50	DU	-0.4425	1.0000	-0.6834
3. Trips	5.6600	2.4042	50	Trips	0.8721	-0.6834	1.0000
MODEL: Cat.Mod.Compar. DEPENDENT VARIABLE => Trips Produced							
MULTIPLE R	0.9331	* ANOVA *	SUM OF SQR	df	MEAN SQR	F	
R-SQUARE	0.8706	MODEL SS	246.58	2	123.29	158.17	
ADJ R-SQUARE	0.8651	ERROR SS	36.64	47	0.78		
S.E. OF EST.	0.8829	TOTAL SS	283.22	49			
VARIABLE NAME	B	BETA	S.E. B	T			
1. Cars	2.3665	0.7084	0.1954	12.1095			
2. DU	-1.7840	-0.3700	0.2821	-6.3241			
Constant	4.1718						

Problem 4 [10 points]

Using the category and the regression production models, forecast the number of trips per household for the six HH holdout sample (HH54-HH59; see **Table 4**), comparing forecast and observed trip rates.

Table 4. Households for Validation Test

ID	Trips	Income	Cars	HHS	DU	ID	Trips	Income	Cars	HHS	DU
54	10	59.4	3	5	1	57	3	37.5	1	1	2
55	8	58.5	3	4	1	58	5	41.4	1	2	1
56	5	43.8	2	2	1	59	8	51.5	2	4	1

Problem 5 [10 points]

The 50 households in question were sampled from a study area divided into 3 zones (TAZs). The associate population-level distributions for these 3 zones are provided in **Table 5**. Compute the total number of trips produced per zone using your final category model from Problem 2.

Table 5. Population Distribution of Households

TAZ 1				TAZ 2				TAZ 3			
D.U.	1	2	Row	D.U.	1	2	Row	D.U.	1	2	Row
Cars	SF	Other	Tot	Cars	SF	Other	Tot	Cars	SF	Other	Tot
1	0	0	0	1	50	50	100	1	20	80	100
2	50	0	50	2	100	30	130	2	20	70	90
3+	50	0	50	3+	50	20	70	3+	10	0	10
Col	100	0	100	Col	200	100	300	Col	50	150	200

Problem 6 [10 points]

The other side of the trip generation stage is estimating trip attractions. The following regression-based total trip attraction model was estimated for the region:

$$A_j = 1.5 \text{ POP}_j + 2.9 \text{ EMP}_j$$

Table 6 provides regional demographic information. Compute total attractions and compare these results with the estimates for total productions from Problem 5. Since every trip has a production and an attraction, normalize the attractions so that the total equals total productions.

Table 6. Demographic Data Summary

TAZ	HH	POP	EMP
1	100	300	0
2	300	1100	400
3	200	600	100
Tot	600	2000	500

HH = total households
POP = total population
EMP = total employment

Problem 7 [10 points Extra Credit]

Concisely analyze each of the following bivariate (Table 7A) and multivariate (Table 7B) regression total trip production models which were estimated using a **subset** of the household travel diary data set (see Table 8 below). Discuss which models are better and why.

Table 7A. Bivariate Regression Summary (variables defined in Table 8)

Model	A	B	C	D
INC	0.79			
(t)	(21.05)			
CARS		2.91		
(t)		(12.35)		
HHS			1.79	
(t)			(10.68)	
DU				-3.30
(t)				(-6.49)
Cnst	-7.58	0.36	0.79	10.87
R	0.95	0.87	0.84	-0.68
R-Sq	0.90	0.76	0.70	0.47
S.E.	0.76	1.19	1.32	1.77
F	443.10	152.52	114.06	43.12

Table 7B. Multiple Regression Summary

Model	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
INC	0.65	0.62	0.49	0.50	0.58		0.65
(t)	(8.99)	(8.61)	(7.17)	(7.25)	(10.49)		(11.04)
CARS	0.66	0.27	0.68	0.87		2.08	
(t)	(2.27)	(0.79)	(2.17)	(3.52)		(5.87)	
HHS		0.36	0.16		0.39	0.23	0.45
(t)		(1.95)	0.97		(2.82)	(0.96)	(2.95)
DU			-0.95	-1.02	-0.79	-1.68	
(t)			(-4.11)	(-4.59)	(-3.46)	(-5.57)	
Cnst	-6.40	-6.17	-2.80	-2.66	-3.98	3.90	-6.45
R	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.93	0.96
R-Sq	0.91	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.87	0.92

S.E.	0.73	0.71	0.61	0.61	0.63	0.88	0.70
F	243.32	173.16	178.90	238.53	219.11	105.58	261.55

Table 8. Household Travel Survey Data used in Problems 1-7

ID	Trips	Income	Cars	HHS	DU	ID	Trips	Income	Cars	HHS	DU
1	4	45.0	2	3	2	26	10	59.4	3	5	1
2	3	40.2	1	2	2	27	8	58.5	3	4	1
3	4	46.5	1	1	2	28	5	40.8	1	2	1
4	5	50.4	2	3	2	29	8	54.3	2	4	1
5	6	57.3	2	2	2	30	9	61.5	3	4	1
6	6	49.8	2	3	1	31	5	50.1	2	2	2
7	7	52.5	1	2	1	32	6	55.5	2	3	1
8	7	55.5	2	3	1	33	10	61.2	3	4	1
9	6	37.2	2	3	1	34	4	45.6	1	2	2
10	3	42.6	1	2	2	35	8	63.9	2	4	1
11	5	46.8	2	2	2	36	6	55.5	2	2	2
12	7	50.4	2	3	1	37	5	49.8	2	3	2
13	6	52.8	2	2	1	38	8	63.9	2	4	1
14	4	43.2	1	1	2	39	4	42.9	1	1	2
15	5	49.2	2	3	2	40	4	45.6	1	2	2
16	5	49.2	2	3	2	41	8	60.6	3	4	2
17	8	60.0	3	5	2	42	7	55.5	2	3	1
18	3	39.0	1	1	2	43	5	48.6	2	3	2
19	6	51.9	2	2	2	44	3	40.5	1	2	2
20	9	63.0	3	4	1	45	2	37.5	1	2	2
21	11	67.8	3	5	1	46	3	40.8	1	1	2
22	5	49.5	2	3	2	47	2	37.5	1	2	2
23	11	67.5	3	5	1	48	3	41.4	1	2	2
24	2	35.4	1	2	2	49	2	35.1	1	2	2
25	7	57.3	2	3	1	50	3	40.8	1	2	1

Key:

1. HH ID = household number
2. Trips = number of daily trip productions per household
3. HHInc = mean Household income (in \$1000s)
4. Cars = number of cars per HH
5. HHS = Household size (persons per HH)
6. D.U. = Dwelling Unit Type (1=single family; 2=multiple family)

Review Questions: (do not need to be submitted with HW#6)

- a. In terms of relationships between variables, how is a HBW production *category* model with two explanatory variables comparable to a HBW production *regression* model with the same two explanatory variables?
- b. What is required to complete a future forecast using the regression-based trip generation model for HBW productions from the previous review question?
What is required to complete a future forecast using the category model trip generation model for HBW productions?
- c. Why is the category approach used for most trip production models while regression is used for attraction models?

Last Updated: 25 Apr 2022